Notes on our Hepaticæ. IV.

The genus Fossombronia.

LUCIEN M. UNDERWOOD.

Among the genera of the Jungermaniales the present genus is perhaps the only one in which the spore markings have been used as specific characters. The older hepaticologists failing to recognize these important characters failed in many instances to discriminate the species and in many of the earlier exsiccatæ the same name covers two or three species which are now clearly recognized by spore characters. Our own species have been indiscriminately referred to common European species and as this was done before the right recognition of species obtained there, we have a complicated tangle of misapplied names to unravel.

In order of sequence the following species have been referred to our flora by various authors.

1821. Schweinitz described' Anthoceros jungermannioides which is evidently a species of Fossombronia as first pointed out by Sullivant in 1845. While it probably represented F. foveolata, our most common species, there is no means at

hand of verifying the supposition. 1845. Sullivant distributed² Fossombronia pusilla, from Mobile, Ala. As his specimens, at least in my set of the

Mobile, Ala. As his specimens, at least in my set of the Musci Alleghanienses, are sterile, it is not possible to deter-mine with certainty what species this is, the foliar characters not being sufficiently distinct to discriminate species properly. 1856. Sullivant again reported³ *F. pusilla* from "moist places on the ground; mostly southern." His figure (the same that now appears in the sixth edition of Gray's Manual) does not even approximately represent the spores of any of our species. It is reasonably certain, however, that the species he has figured is really *F. foveolata*. 1869. Austin published⁴ *F. cristula* from New Jersey and

1869. Austin published⁴ F. cristula from New Jersey and

¹Spec. Fl. Am. Sept. 25. 1821. ²Musc. Alleg. no. 277. 1845. ³Mosses and Hepaticæ of the Eastern U. S. in Gray, Man. 691. 1856. (ed. 2.) ⁴ Proc. Phila. Acad. 1869; 228. 1869.

Androcryphia longiseta from California and Texas, giving F. longiseta Aust. MS. as a synonym for the latter.

1872. Austin issued four species⁵ as follows: F. longiseta no. 118, F. angulosa, no. 119, F. pusilla, no. 120, and F. cristula, no. 121.

1875. Lindberg in commenting on Austin's exsiccatæ6 recognizes nos. 118 and 121 as good species, the former allied to F. cristata and the latter to F. foveolata of Europe. With no. 118, he says, a second species occurs (the Texas specimens) which he briefly characterizes under a name (F. Texana Lindb. MS.). No. 119 he asserts is not F. angulosa as known in Europe, and he briefly characterizes the sterile specimens under the MS. name of F. salina Lindb. No. 120 he refers doubtfully to F. foveolata but later7 refers it to this species with more positiveness.

1876. Austin described⁸ F. Macouni from Canada (Portage La Lochs, lat. 57°), and F. Wrightii from Cuba (the latter based on material distributed later in Hep. Cubenses Wrightianæ as "F. pusilla"), and briefly characterized the Texan specimens (originally included in F. longiseta and named F. Texana by Lindberg) under the name of F. Cubana (Gott.) Aust., including with them material collected in Cuba by Charles Wright which had been named by Gottsche and were afterwards distributed in Hep. Cubenses Wrightianæ as "F. pusilla, var. Cubana G."

The above species represent all the material that was known to me when the compilation was made for my descriptive catalogue of species.⁹ It is fair to state that at the time of publication of that paper Lindberg's publication noted above was not known to me.

1889. Underwood and Cook issued¹⁰ specimens of F. Dumortieri¹¹ as no. 47. This species had previously been cited

- ⁵ Hepaticæ Boreali-Americanæ.
- e Hepaticæ in Hiberniæ lectæ. Acta Soc. Scien. Fenn. 10: 533. 1875.
- ⁷ Rev. Bryol. 12: 39. 1885.
 ⁸ Bot. Bulletin (now Bot. Gazette) 1: 36. 1876.

Bull. Ill. State Lab. Nat. Hist. 2: 1-133. 1884.
Hepaticæ Americanæ, dec. V-VI. N 1889.

11 The name of this species here given cannot stand under the present rules of nomenclature as it was based on a nomen nudum and that issued in exsiccate Lindberg's original name, therefore, must hold. The synonymy of the species

FOSSOMBRONIA FOVEOLATA Lindb. 1873.

Codonia Dumortieri Hueb. et Genth. Deutschlands Lebermoose in getrockneten Exemplaren no. 80. 1837; name only. Fossombronia Dumortieri Lindb. Not. pro F. et Fl. Fenn. 13: 417. 1874.

as American by Lindberg (Drummond, Musc. Amer. II. no. 163 from Louisiana).

1892. Underwood reported¹² F. cristata from Indiana.

From a study of the herbarium material at hand we appear to have the following species:

I. F. ANGULOSA (Dicks.) Raddi. Mem. della Soc. Ital. di Mod. 18: 40. 1818.

Cuba, Wright; Florida, Underwood; Alabama, Underwood; Texas, Thurow. The specimens issued in Hep. Amer. no. 118 differ from representative European specimens in shorter elaters and slightly larger spores, in both particulars varying in the direction of F. foveolata. They are apparently dioicous.

2. F. CRISTATA Lindb. "apud Soc. pro F. et Fl. fenn. die 6th Dec. 1873" Not. pro F. et Fl. fenn. 13: 388. 1874.18

Indiana, Underwood; Ohio, Werner.

- 3. F. CRISTULA Aust. Proc. Phila. Acad. 1869: 228. 1869. New Jersey, Austin; Distributed in Hep. Bor.-Am. no. 121.
- 4. F. FOVEOLATA Lindb. "apud Soc. pro F. et Fl. fenn. die 6 Dec. 1873." Not. pro F. et Fl. fenn. 13: 382. 1874.13

Maine, Rand; New Jersey, Austin; Delaware, Com-mons, James; Ontario, Macoun, Britton; British Columbia, Macoun. Sterile specimens from South Carolina, Ravenel, seem also to belong here as is also the case with various similar specimens in exsiccatæ.¹⁴ Distributed in Hep. Amer. as F. Dumortieri, no. 47.

5. F. LONGISETA Aust. as syn. Proc. Phila. Acad. 1869: 228. 1869.

Androcryphia longiseta Aust. l. c.

California, Bolander, Brandegee, Farlow, Parish, Howe. Distributed in Hep. Bor.-Am. no. 118, and in Hep. Amer.

6. F. TEXANA Lindb. Acta Soc. Scien. fenn. 10: 533. 1875.

¹³ Proc. Ind. Acad. Science 1891: 90. 1892.

¹³ I have been unable to verify the earlier citations of Lindberg. The species are described in the second paper cited, with illustrations of the spores. ¹⁴E. g., Musc. Alleg. no. 277. Hep. Bor.-Am. no. 120. Canadian Hepat.

1896.]

The Botanical Gazette.

F. Cubana (Gott.) Aust. Bot. Bulletin (now Bot. Gazette) 1: 36. 1876.

F. pusilla, var. Cubana Gott., name only, in Hep. Cubenses Wrightianæ.

Cuba, Wright; Austin also reported it from Texas but I have no means of verifying the reference.

7. F. WRIGHTII Aust. Bot. Bulletin (now Bot. Gazette)
1: 36. 1876.

Cuba, Wright.

SPECIES DUBIÆ.

 F. PUSILLA (L.) Dumort. Recueil d'obs. sur les Jung. 11. 1835.¹⁵

This species so often alluded to in the above references must be placed in the doubtful list as we are unable to cite a single fertile plant from any part of North America.

9. F. SALINA Lindb. Acta Soc. Scien. fenn. 10: 533. 1875.

F. angulosa Aust. Hep. Bor.-Am. no. 119, not Raddi. This species founded on sterile specimens will have to be placed in the doubtful list unless fertile specimens can be found. It is unfortunate that it was ever given a name!

10. F. MACOUNI Aust. Bot. Bulletin (now Bot. Gazette) 1:36. 1876.

"Portage La Lochs, lat. 57°, Macoun." I have seen no specimens of this species. Mr. Pearson writes me that no specimens exist in either of the parts of the Austin collection; nor does Mr. Macoun, its collector, possess any specimens.

In order to facilitate the determination of our species I append the following table with the more important characters emphasized.

*Spores clearly foveolate or reticulate.

Elaters abundant; spores dark brown.

Dioicous; spores 35-40 with few reticulations; elaters 220-250 μ F. angulosa. Heteroicous; spores 42-50 μ with more numerous reticulations; elaters 120-135 μ . . F. foveolata.

¹⁵ Dumortier clearly intended to write "pusilla" at this reference, but by a singular typographical error he wrote "pumila" which happens to be also one of the species of the Linnaean genus Jungermania.

[February,

**Spores spinulose-cristate, the crests only occasionally anastomosing.

Dioicous.

Spores 29-40µ; elaters 160-300µ		F. longiseta.
Spores 50-60µ; elaters 135-200µ		F. Texana.
Heteroicous; spores 29-40µ; elaters	about	I20µ.

F. cristata.

*** Spores verrucose, 53-56µ; dioicous? . . F. Wrightii.

Having never seen F. Macouni I can only quote Austin's description of its spores: "Sporis parviusculis subopacis densissime minutissime papillosis." It would doubtless fall in the table near F. Wrightii.

It is hoped that collectors will send in material illustrating more fully the distribution of this interesting genus. The species all grow in sandy or clayey soil, closely creeping, and for the most part produce their spores late in the season. I desire also that those who possess either Austin's Hep. Bor. Am. or Sullivant's Musc. Alleg. examine the species above noted for spores and report any modifications necessary in the statements. The spores of the European species have been figured in accessible works, e. g. Not. pro Fl. et Faun. Fenn 13: pl. 1. and Rev. Bryol. 17: pl. 1. These include Ff. angulosa, foveolata, cristata, and pusilla besides other species not found in America. Auburn. Ala

1896.]



Underwood, Lucien Marcus. 1896. "Notes on Our Hepaticæ. IV. The Genus Fossombronia." *Botanical gazette* 21(2), 67–71. <u>https://doi.org/10.1086/327301</u>

View This Item Online: https://doi.org/10.1086/327301 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/327301 Permalink: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/222572

Holding Institution Missouri Botanical Garden, Peter H. Raven Library

Sponsored by Missouri Botanical Garden

Copyright & Reuse Copyright Status: Public domain. The BHL considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection.

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.